1		The Honorable Ronald B. Leighton
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
8	AT TACOMA	
9	TIMOTHY DIETZ,	Case No. 3:14-cv-05837-RBL
10	Plaintiff,	DEDLY IN CURPORT OF
11	V.	REPLY IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT
12	MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC.,	NOTED ON MOTION CALENDAR:
13	Defendant.	November 14, 2014
14		
15	Plaintiff's response to Defendant's Motion for More Definite Statement was due	
16	by November 10, 2014. See LRC 7(d)(3). That due date has passed. The docket does	
17	not show that plaintiff filed any response, much less a timely one. Accordingly,	
18	defendant's motion is unopposed. The court, therefore, should grant defendant's	
19	unopposed motion and order plaintiff to provide a more definite statement of his alleged	
20	claim. See LRC 7(b)(2).	
21	DATED: November 14, 2014	
22	Cos	SGRAVE VERGEER KESTER LLP
23		Robert E. Sabido
24	<u>rsal</u>	pert E. Sabido, WSBA No. 29170 pido@cosgravelaw.com
25	Por	SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 500 tland, OR 97204
26	Fax	ephone: (503) 323-9000 :: (503) 323-9019 orneys for Defendant

Page 1 - REPLY IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT

4	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
1	I hereby certify that on October 28, 2014, I electronically filed the foregoing		
2	REPLY IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR MORE DEFINITE		
3	STATEMENT with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System which will send		
4	notification of such filing to the following:		
5	Timothy Dietz		
6 7	3501 S 38th Street #Y69 Tacoma, WA 98409 Plaintiff <i>Pro Se</i>		
8	DATED: November 14, 2014		
9			
10	/s/Robert E. Sabido		
11	Robert E. Sabido		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			